



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,650	09/08/2003	Larry J. Pacey	47079-00134USPT	2836
70243	7590	03/18/2008		
NIXON PEABODY LLP 161 N CLARK ST. 48TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60601-3213			EXAMINER HOEL, MATTHEW D	
			ART UNIT 3714	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 03/18/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/657,650

Applicant(s)

PACEY ET AL.

Examiner

Matthew D. Hoel

Art Unit

3714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Matthew D. Hoel, examiner.(3) Wayne Tang, Jeremie Moll, applicants' reps..(2) John Hotaling, primary examiner.(4) Joel Jaffe, WMS.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: Travis.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed amending the claim language to show how the simulation rule data interact with the physical object data to produce the random result. Travis had both types of data, but they did not appear to interact in the manner suggested by the applicants to produce a random result. The search will be updated and the examiner will consult with examiners in random number generation.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John M Hotaling II/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required